

1	1 g 2 01 13
	Page 2
1	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
2	One Bowling Green
3	New York, New York
4	
5	November 18, 2015
6	10:01 AM
7	
8	
9	BEFORE:
10	HON STUART M. BERNSTEIN
11	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
12	
13	
14	Hearing re: 08-01789 - Trustee's Motion to Approve
15	Allocation and Sixth Interim Distribution
16	
17	Hearing re: 10-04425 - Application of Becker & Poliakoff to
18	withdraw as counsel
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Dawn South

	. g o o. 20	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	BAKER HOSTETLER	
3	Attorneys for the Trustee	
4	45 Rockefeller Plaza	
5	New York, NY 10111	
6		
7	BY: SEANNA R. BROWN, ESQ.	
8	NICHOLAS J. CREMONA, ESQ.	
9		
10	SIPC	
11	Attorney for SIPC	
12	1667 K. Street, N.W.	
13	Suite 1000	
14	Washington, D.C. 20006-1620	
15		
16	BY: KEVIN H. BELL, ESQ.	
17		
18	BAKER & HOSTETLER	
19	Attorney for the Trustee	
20	811 Main Street	
21	Suite 1100	
22	Houston, TX 77002-6111	
23		
24	BY: FARRELL A. HOCHMUTH, ESQ.	
25		

	. g . c. 20	Page 4
1	BECKER & POLIAKOFF, LLP	
2	Attorney for the Defendant	
3	45 Broadway	
4	8th Floor	
5	New York, NY 10006	
6		
7	BY: JULIE GORCHKOVA, ESQ.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 5 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MS. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 MS. BROWN: Seanna Brown upon behalf of Irving 5 Picard, Trustee. 6 This the return date of the trustee's motion for a 7 sixth interim allocation and distribution. It's a very 8 important day in this proceeding as we propose to distribute 9 over a billion dollars, which is the second -- if approved 10 -- would be the second largest distribution in this 11 proceeding. We received no objections to the trustee's 12 motion. 13 I know Your Honor is familiar with the papers, but if I may briefly just run through some of the events that 14 15 got us to today's hearing? 16 THE COURT: Go ahead. 17 MS. BROWN: As you're aware the trustee maintained 18 a reserve for the time-based damages issue. Judge Lifland 19 ruled in fall of 2013 that claimants were not entitled to 20 time-based damages, and the Second Circuit affirmed that 21 ruling in February of 2015. 22 In April the trustee filed his motion seeking to release that reserve and distribute those monies to 23 24 claimants. 25 Following the filing of the trustee's motion

Pg 6 of 19 Page 6 1 certain claimants filed a cert petition with the United 2 States Supreme Court on the Second Circuit's decision, which led the trustee to adjourn this hearing several times. 3 On October 5th, 2015 the Supreme Court denied 4 5 cert, which paved the way for this hearing to go forward. 6 As we outline in our supplemental filing the 7 trustee seeks to distribute approximately 1.1 -- I'm sorry -- \$1.8 billion, which is a distribution percentage of 8 approximately 8.2 percent, and if approved it would bring 9 10 the total aggregate distributions to almost 57 percent. 11 There are 1,063 accounts that will participate in this distribution, and 101 additional accounts will become 12 13 fully satisfied if this distribution is approved, which 14 brings the total number of totally fully satisfied accounts 15 to 1,264. 16 Including the SIPC advances and this sixth 17 distribution a total of \$9.13 billion will have been distributed to Madoff's victims. 18 19 It's an important day for the victims and it 20 represents real progress made by the trustee. We would ask 21 that Your Honor approve the application. 22 THE COURT: Is there anyone who wants to be heard 23 in connection with the application? MR. BELL: Yes, Your Honor. Kevin Bell on behalf 24

of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation.

Page 7

1 This is a monumental day for those of us who were 2 here 2,335 days ago with regard to since this case has started and the skepticism that people had when the case 3 started that the distribution wouldn't get above five 4 5 percent. To be at near 57 percent is a great achievement on 6 behalf of the trustee and Baker and Hostetler and all the 7 other law firms that served the trustee in this purpose. 8 So SIPC would -- you know, together with the SIPC 9 advances, as Ms. Brown has pointed out, we're over \$9.13 billion, so that any customer who had a claim that was 10 11 allowed for \$1.1 million has been -- will be fully satisfied 12 upon the entry of an order and the trustee making this 13 distribution. 14 So this is a very significant day in the progress 15 of this case, and on behalf of SIPC, I would ask the Court 16 to enter the order. 17 THE COURT: Is there anyone else who wants to be 18 heard? The record should reflect there's no response. 19 I'll grant the application. It's certainly in the 20 best interest of the creditors of the customers -- creditors 21 and customer estate. So you can submit an order. 22 MS. BROWN: Okay. So thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. Picard versus Jaffe. Go ahead. 24 25 MS. GORCHKOVA: Good morning, Your Honor, Julie

Page 8 1 Gorchkova of Becker & Poliakoff, on behalf of the defendant, 2 Manuel Jaffe. We're here on Becker & Poliakoff's motion to be withdrawn as counsel. 3 Becker & Poliakoff was retained to represent 4 5 Mr. Jaffe in this adversary proceeding in 2011. As with 6 many of our other elderly clients the primary contact for 7 Mr. Jaffe was his son, Phil Jaffe. 8 THE COURT: Where did Mr. Jaffe live? 9 MS. GORCHKOVA: The address that we have on file 10 is in Florida. 11 In July of 2015 the trustee brought to our 12 attention that they were -- that they found out that 13 Mr. Jaffe was deceased. This was news to us, we tried to 14 reach out to Mr. Jaffe's son, who's our primary contact. 15 THE COURT: Did your client -- do you send any 16 bills to your clients in the course of these cases? 17 MS. GORCHKOVA: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Did you sent a bill to Mr. Jaffe after 19 he died? 20 MS. GORCHKOVA: Yes, the bills -- I'm not sure 21 when the last bill was sent, but I do know that the bills 22 were sent and that they were being paid. And it didn't come to our attention -- we were unable to contact Phil Jaffe 23 24 until July of 2015, and I tried to contact him again as recent as two days ago, and he still hasn't responded. 25

Page 9 1 I understand that the trustee's opposition to our 2 motion, you know, points out certain misstatements that --3 for instance, it indicates that the trustee's counsel 4 consented. I apologize, that was some sort of an oversight, 5 that must have carried over from a previous motion. 6 Nonetheless, you know, Mr. Jaffe is deceased and we don't 7 have a client that we can represent. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 9 MS. GORCHKOVA: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. HOCHMUTH: Good morning, Your Honor, Farrell 11 Hochmuth here on behalf of Irving Picard, Trustee. 12 Your Honor, the trustee's objection to the withdrawal motion filed is on several bases. 13 14 First it is on the basis that the affidavit in 15 support of the motion to withdraw contains several 16 misstatements. 17 First of all, as noted by counsel, the trustee never provided consent. We had no indication that counsel 18 19 intended to withdrawal until we reviewed the motion to 20 withdraw. 21 THE COURT: So what do you want them to file 22 another application? MS. HOCHMUTH: Your Honor, what we would ask is 23 that counsel not be permitted to withdraw in this case until 24 25 the proper parties are substituted in this action --

Page 10 1 THE COURT: And who does counsel represent? 2 MS. HOCHMUTH: Counsel as of now represents I 3 would say the estate of Mr. Jaffe. THE COURT: They don't represent the estate. 4 5 MS. HOCHMUTH: I'm sorry, Your Honor? THE COURT: They don't represent the estate. The 6 7 administrator or the executor or executrix would select who 8 represents the estate. 9 MS. HOCHMUTH: Your Honor, the trustee is in the 10 process of opening an estate as a creditor for Mr. Jaffe in 11 Minnesota. Mr. Jaffe died in Minnesota. 12 THE COURT: Is that where -- oh, he died in 13 Minnesota? 14 MS. HOCHMUTH: He died in Minnesota, he has a 15 Minnesota certificate of death, and he also had property in 16 Minnesota. 17 THE COURT: So why don't you just do that? I 18 don't understand who Becker & Poliakoff represents if I 19 don't allow them to withdraw. 20 MS. HOCHMUTH: Your Honor, we would ask that Becker & Poliakoff assist us in getting the proper party 21 22 substituted before the court, and at that point the trustee 23 has no objection to them withdrawing. 24 THE COURT: But what's their duty to do so? 25 other words, Mr. Jaffe died.

Pg 11 of 19
Page 11

MS. HOCHMUTH: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And I looked at the rules and I don't see any obligation on the part of the attorney for the deceased client to do anything other than make a statement on the record, which obviously they should have made. But assuming they didn't know, you know, there's a statement in the September 4th declaration of Ms. Chapman (ph) that Mr. Jaffe is dead, and that starts the 90 days.

So why don't you just file a one-page motion to substitute the estate, the motion will be adjourned (indiscernible) and then you can go and substitute the estate?

MS. HOCHMUTH: Your Honor, we can't substitute the estate now because it's not been opened. Until as you noted the personal representative for the estate is appointed by the court in Minnesota --

THE COURT: Right. But you can still --

MS. HOCHMUTH: -- we cannot substitute.

THE COURT: Look, you have a 90-day window --

MS. HOCHMUTH: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Let me just finish. If I treat

Ms. Chapman's September 4th declaration as the statement of

death under Rule 25 you have 90 days from September 4th, you

still have time, to file a motion to substitute. I don't

understand why you can't file a motion to substitute now. I

Page 12

understand there may not be an estate yet or an estate that's probated or a personal representative who's appointed, but you've done all you can do under the rules. And very often those kind of motions are extended until a personal representative is appointed. That's all.

MS. HOCHMUTH: Your Honor we have in cases where the estate has not been opened agreed with counsel to extend the 90-day deadline. Here I don't believe that the 90-day deadline has begun to run, because until they provide us with the name of the personal representative that we can substitute --

THE COURT: That's not what the rule says. Look, if you don't think it started to run, as I understand the rule you could proceed and just get a judgment against Mr. Jaffe and we'd probably bind this estate if no statement is filed, if you don't believe that's in the statement. If that is the statement then you don't have to do anything, just proceed and litigate the case.

MS. HOCHMUTH: When the estate is -- would Your

Honor entertain a motion to substitute that when the estate

is opened at that point --

THE COURT: Why don't you just make a motion. I don't think the opening of the estate is necessarily the triggering event, I could be wrong, I think you just make a motion to substitute under the rule. Isn't that all you

Page 13 1 have to do? 2 MS. HOCHMUTH: Typically, Your Honor, we would want the estate to be open and be a valid legal entity to 3 substitute. 4 5 THE COURT: What happens if the estate is not open 6 in your words within the 90 days? 7 MS. HOCHMUTH: Your Honor, in the past with 8 counsel we have stipulated to extend a 90-day deadline if an 9 estate is not open, because oftentimes it does take longer 10 than 90 days to get an estate open. But here if counsel is 11 permitted to withdraw we don't have the ability to extend 12 that deadline. 13 THE COURT: What's counsel's authority to 14 stipulate on behalf of an estate that you're telling me 15 hasn't even been formed? 16 MS. HOCHMUTH: I think that they would be 17 stipulating on behalf of their client until that estate is formed and substituted in the action. 18 19 THE COURT: Their client is dead, they can't 20 stipulate on behalf of their client. 21 I think that there's a distinction between the 22 estate being created and a personal representative being appointed or some application being made to the appropriate 23 probate court. There's an estate. Isn't that the successor 24

as a matter of law to the decedent?

Page 14

MS. HOCHMUTH: Not necessarily, Your Honor. If the decedent does not have assets that require a probate estate then there are times when a probate estate is not open. In that case the proper party under the federal rules is the beneficiary -- primary beneficiary of the deceased person's assets.

THE COURT: So why don't you join his son as a defendant?

MS. HOCHMUTH: At this point, Your Honor, we aren't aware if the son or -- there is also a surviving spouse, is the primary beneficiary.

THE COURT: Well the problem is I don't -- I mean they don't want to stipulate, I'm telling you I'm not sure they can stipulate, that's a separate issue. If you don't think that what Ms. Chapman filed was a statement of death then I guess you can proceed with the action.

I think the simplest thing is for you to file a statement of death and make clear that the 90 days start to run. Because if it's not a statement of death and they're right you're still in the case and you have to represent a client as strange as that may sound because they can proceed against the client until a statement of death is filed.

So file a statement of death, that'll start your 90 days. I'll adjourn the motion. I'll adjourn it to some time before the 90th day, which is around December 4th I

Page 15 1 quess. So, I'll adjourn it for a week. File the statement 2 of death, and you know, that's it. 3 I just don't know of any authority to compel them to continue to represent someone who's dead simply because 4 5 you can't -- you know, there's no estate. 6 MS. HOCHMUTH: We have several cases, Your Honor, 7 where decedent -- where defendants have died and their 8 attorneys have worked with us to get the proper party 9 substituted. 10 THE COURT: I understand that, but are you 11 prepared to -- what are you -- have you given them all the 12 information you have? 13 MS. GORCHKOVA: I'm sorry, Your Honor, that's exactly just the one point that I wanted to make was that 14 15 it's not that we're not cooperating, and as you know in the 16 past in other cases where our clients have died we have 17 cooperated with the (indiscernible). And to the extent we 18 have information we provided it. 19 But we've asked Mr. Jaffe's son for that 20 information, he hasn't responded. I can't provide you with 21 information that I don't have. 22 THE COURT: Why don't you take a deposition of 23 Mr. Jaffe's son? 24 MS. HOCHMUTH: We may need to do that, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: He's probably the one who knows --

Page 16 1 who's in the best position to know if there were 2 beneficiaries or, you know, whether he's got siblings, I don't know. 3 4 MS. HOCHMUTH: I suspect when -- there's a hearing 5 currently scheduled on December 14th in the Minnesota 6 probate court, I suspect we will hear from Mr. Jaffe before 7 that hearing. Hopefully at that hearing either Mr. Jaffe or 8 another independent personal representative will be 9 appointed. We will have an estate at that time that we can 10 then move to substitute. 11 THE COURT: And you think I can extend the 90 days 12 without anybody's consent? 13 MS. HOCHMUTH: Your Honor, we have typically done this with counsel as a stipulation to extend the 90-day 14 deadline. 15 16 THE COURT: I understand that. 17 MS. HOCHMUTH: But yes, Your Honor, I believe you 18 have the authority to extend the deadline to allow a probate 19 estate to be open that could be substituted in. 20 THE COURT: Look, I'll adjourn this until 21 December 1, but file your statement of death on the record 22 so there's no question, and then you can figure out what to 23 do, but I just don't know how I can keep them in the case if 24 their client is dead and they don't represent the estate. 25 All right.

	Page 17
1	MS. HOCHMUTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And if you want to write me a
3	letter before that explaining that I have authority to
4	extend the 90 days I'm happy to read it, without their
5	consent obviously.
6	MS. HOCHMUTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right?
8	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:15
9	AM)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 19 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Dawn South, certify that the foregoing transcript is a 4 true and accurate record of the proceedings. Digitally signed by Dawn South Dawn South DN: cn=Dawn South, o, ou, email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US 5 Date: 2015.11.19 15:46:00 -05'00' 6 7 Dawn South AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D-408 8 9 10 11 12 Date: November 18, 2015 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Veritext Legal Solutions 23 330 Old Country Road 24 Suite 300 25 Mineola, NY 11501